Quality Impact Assessment Guidance

Please use the following guidance and risk calculator to correctly complete the QIAs.

Patient Safety	 Clinical risk to patient Health and safety risk to patient Hazards which may impact upon patient safety Environmental hazards for patients Potential distress to patient Infection Prevention and Control 	Clinical Effectiveness	 Risk to outcomes for patient Impact on pathway of care and best practice treatment Readmission rates to acute provider Mortality rate
Patient Experience	 Access (equality and diversity) Communication Impact of location or service change on experience as perceived by service user Staff experience impacting on patient experience Perceived reputation of trust from service users (public) Length of stay for patient 	Staff Experience	 Likely impact on workload Will working conditions or environment for staff be affected significantly Have staff been engaged in the development of the plan How will impact on staff of the change be monitored How will this change affect staff morale, engagement and experience of working in the team? Will staff be at risk of redundancy? Will this impact of the staff's ability to deliver high quality care to patients?
Mitigations	Actions to address staff and patient quality and	I safety experience,	

<u>Double click</u> on the QIA calculator (on the right) to enter your scores. The calculator will automatically tell you your overall QIA score for each scheme. Transfer your scoring on to the following QIA Detail slide(s)



Quality Impact Assessment Detail 2018/19

Scheme	Patient Safety	Clinical Effectiveness	Patient Experience	Staff Experience	Overall Score	Mitigations	Quality Indicators	Confirmed?
To change provider of Wheelchair Services as requested by HOSC	Consequence =1 Likelihood = 3 Total risk =3 Detail: The current provider Millbrook has identified a significant inherited back log. They have a process in place to ensure that high risks patients are assessed in a timely manner	Consequence = 1 Likelihood = 3 Total risk =3 Detail: Risk around procurement distracting existing provider from addressing high risks Risk that new provider will not be able to recruit competent staff. Millbrook have undertaken a	Consequence =3 Likelihood = 1 Total risk = 3 Detail: The experience for patients currently is poor. Both Thanet CCG and Millbrook recognise this. Risk of poorer experience if procurement process undertaken. Destabilising	Consequence =3 Likelihood = 1 Total risk = 3 Detail: Clinical Leads have expressed that poor staff experience currently. The waiting list, financial pressures and poor patient experience have contributed to a poor staff experience	3	Local quality, IPC and Safeguarding requirements been shared with Millbrook and are reported to CCG monthly Monthly contract meetings Quality Visit demonstrated no harm to patients Millbrook have clinical harm assessment in place and are	N/A	

and that as an	huge amount of	staff at	Staff are aware	reviewing	
outcome of the	workforce	Millbrook and	that CCG and	patients	
waiting list	training to	increasing risk	Millbrook are	Competent staff	
patients are not	ensure that all	that in period of	working	who have been	
being harmed.	members of staff	change staff will	together to	trained to deliver	
There is a risk	are competent in	leave therefore	resolve issues	service.	
that if another	delivering	increasing wait	and there is an		
provider took	services	for patients.	agreement		
over the services			around funding		
that the waits			and an		
would increase.			improvement		
Risk that staff			action plan to		
may not TUPE			address existing		
over and that			waits		
there would be					
a delay through			Recruitment and		
procurement			retention of		
and mobilisation			staff into the		
of new contract			service could		
			increase as a		
Recruitment and			risk		
retention of					
staff into the					
service could					
increase as a risk					